# Slide 1: Using Mobile ICT To Enable Social Support in Chronic Care Management

Douglas W. Roblin, Ph.D.
Senior Research Scientist
The Center for Health Research / Southeast
Kaiser Permanente, Atlanta, GA

#### Slide 2: Presentation Overview

- Collaborative care and social support
- Web 2.0 concepts
- "Diabetes Social Support" Study
- Organizing principles: issues, solutions, and user feedback
  - Technology
  - o Social support
- Potential future directions for research and implementation

#### Slide 3: Chronic Care Model Framework

- Coordinate efforts of individuals to optimize chronic illness care and outcomes
  - o Individuals include patient, community (friends, family), providers
  - o Integration and coordination can be within or between groups (e.g., among patients, between a patient and friends/family)
- Collaborative care and decisionmaking
- Shared clinical goals
- Productive interactions
  - Interactions can be directed or reciprocal
  - o Interactions can be between patients and peers

#### Slide 4: Web 2.0 Framework

- Technology-mediated social participation
- Social dimension(s) that define participation
  - Common interests or goals
  - o "Connectedness" as a motivator for participation
  - o Interactions intended to "add value" to participants
- Infrastructure (technology) to enable and mobilize participation
  - o Technology defines the dimensions of the social network
  - Directed or reciprocal electronic interactions can occur within the virtual community

#### Slide 5: The Challenge

- Chronic diseases are prevalent, costly, and require extensive self-monitoring and self-management outside the traditional clinic setting
- Excellent evidence for the importance of self-monitoring and self-management in chronic diseases
- Excellent evidence for the importance of social support in motivating adherence to self-management
- Can Web 2.0 concepts be applied in a clinical setting to motivate instrumental and emotional social support in chronic care management?

## Slide 6: Diabetes Social Support Pilot Study (1)

- Objective: To assess the usability of mobile ICT and value added of peer instrumental and emotional social support for SMBG
- Study Population: 15 adults with type 2 diabetes and baseline HbA<sub>1c</sub> >8.0% and their self-selected supporters (6 pairs from Kaiser Permanente Georgia and 9 pairs from Oakhurst Medical Center)
  - Average age of the diabetes patients was 49.3 years; 67.7% were female; 86.7% were African American.
- Study Protocol: An enrollment session, 3-month trial period, and a disenrollment session

# Slide 7: Diabetes Social Support Pilot Study (2)

- Enabling technology:
  - o Glucometer
  - o Transmitter requiring a phone connection
  - o Data center (receiving, logging, transmission services)
  - Cell phones (diabetes patient and paired supporter) with text message capability
- Social network: Dyad (patient-supporter)
- How to pull it all together into a model that will effectively direct attitudes and behaviors in the intended direction (improve SMBG frequency and results)?

# Slide 8: Which Technology Components To Use? (1)

- Considerations:
  - o Access and availability
  - o Familiarity and ease of use
  - o Relevant, tested functionality
- Decisions:
  - Cell phones:
    - Nearly universal availability as primary or secondary phone service

- Access nearly unrestricted in terms of space or time
- Most users familiar with basic dialing and texting capabilities

## Slide 9: Which Technology Components To Use? (2)

- Decisions:
  - GlucoMON® and Diabetech LLC
    - Transmitter compatible with most commonly used glucometers (compatible one provided if not)
    - Data bank to receive SMBG data
    - Existing programs to transmit SMBG results to: 1) primary care physicians (PCP) of adult diabetes patients, 2) parents of juveniles with type diabetes
  - Redirect transmission to PCP and/or parent to peer supporter to establish a simple peer-support network

## Slide 10: Which Technology Components To Use? (3)

- Results
  - Usability (www.usability.gov)
  - "Well, I thought it was great and easy.... [Y]ou just plug it in and it gives you the information and the information was sent to both of our phones."
  - 11 of 15 (73%) of diabetes participants had connected components and completed one transmission within 5 days of enrollment
  - Those who connected shortly after the enrollment session tended to be frequent users
  - o Followup and assistance was required after the enrollment session

# Slide 11: How To Organize Communication: Message Delivery (1)

- Considerations:
  - Technological constraints
  - o Participant financial burden
  - o Participant psychological burden
  - o System complexity and cost
- Decisions:
  - Text messages limited to 128 characters
  - Limit frequency of text messaging to patient and supporter participants to less than SMBG frequency
  - Many basic cell phone plans support text messaging but have a service charge (\$0.20-\$0.25) per message

## Slide 12: How To Organize Communication: Message Delivery (2)

- Decisions:
  - Text messages would be limited to every 5 days and to a random time between 9 am and 9 pm
    - Frequency of text messages (5-day cycle) was a compromise between goal of minimizing participant burden and message content (which focused on trends and not details of SMBG)
    - Randomness was intended to mitigate consequences of message "predictability"
- Results:
  - Participants found the frequency to be "about right" and neither annoying nor distracting

# Slide 13: How To Organize Communication: Message Content (1)

- Considerations:
  - Relevance to application goals ("meaningful")
  - Structured (system—participant) versus unstructured (participant participant) communication
  - Consistent with evidence or consensus based clinical practice
    - AD Guidelines
    - KPGA/OMC Clinical Practice Guidelines
  - o Compliance with HIPAA requirements and IRB concerns
- Decisions:
  - o Text-message content would acknowledge SMBG practice: frequency and results

## Slide 14: How To Organize Communication: Message Content (2)

- Decisions:
  - Text-message content would summarize patterns/trends in recent SMBG history but avoid referencing actual SMBG results

 $2 \times 2$  matrix of messages

Parallel messages for diabetes patient and supporter:

<u>Patient</u>: "You are monitoring your diabetes regularly. Your blood sugar levels remain high. You might contact your primary care team."

<u>Supporter</u>: "I see that you are monitoring your blood sugar every day, but your blood sugar levels seem high. How can I help you?"

## Slide 15: How To Organize Communication: Message Content (3)

Decisions:

- Supporters would be instructed and encouraged to follow OARS
   motivational coaching techniques in their interactions with diabetes patients
- Diabetes patients might benefit in self-assessments by using OARS motivational coaching techniques

#### Results:

- Text messages to supporters prompted interactions that might not otherwise have occurred
- o "It got my whole family involved. My granddaughter would see the latest text message and call me to say, 'Granny, your blood sugar was high'."

## Slide 16: How To Organize Communication: Message Content (4)

#### Results:

- Some mixed reactions to message content that we assumed would be motivational:
  - "[The messages] normally started out positive and then show that you care and say 'good job.' A couple of times I said, 'I know I didn't do well this week' but ... you praise[d] me for what I did do."
- Whether brief instruction in OARS techniques of motivational coaching added value was questionable:
  - "We are so comfortable with each other...we've known each other for so long...we're like sisters. She was very comfortable talking to me, and I was very comfortable talking to her."

#### Slide 17: How To Organize the Community (1)

- Considerations:
  - Application goal MOTIVATE SMBG by mobilizing emotional and instrumental support
  - Which individuals enter the network can have a significant positive or negative effect on health behaviors and attitudes
- Entry rules
  - o Random
  - Nominated, by whom (participant, moderator)
- Exit rules

# Slide 18: How To Organize the Community (2)

- Decisions:
  - Self-selected peer (close friend or family member) whose support and opinion was valued by the diabetes patient
  - o Unmoderated interactions between diabetes patient and supporter

 Exception: Review of specified high and low SMBG values to trigger research team interventions

#### Results:

- o Greater attention to importance of SMBG by diabetes patients
  - "I checked [my blood sugars] more because I knew that someone was holding me accountable and I knew you were monitoring it...sending it in...I wanted to finish what I started and make sure I am giving myself an opportunity to improve my blood sugar and my husband was helping too."

## Slide 19: How To Organize the Community (3)

- Results:
  - o Improved emotional and instrumental social support:
    - "I wasn't much of a supporter until we got this device. I was out of sight.
      [I]t was avoidance on my part.... Since we got this device...I've learned more about her diabetic condition and her daily needs...I'm understanding it more...because this became a focal point for all of us."
    - "It was really good because I really didn't know what my mother was going through or like different food that she was eating, because I don't have diabetes and I am used to eating whatever."

#### Slide 20: Other Web 2.0 Pilot Studies Under Consideration

- Diabetes Prevention Program via multimedia interactive Web site
  - Structured, instructional sessions on lifestyle (progress monitored by diabetes educator)
  - o E-mailing diabetes educator
  - Moderated topical discussions by diabetes educator
- Postpartum Weight Management via Webinar format
  - Virtual group visits with moderated discussions by nurse, nutritionist
- Diabetes Social Support randomized controlled trial
  - Three study groups (1 control + 2 intervention)
  - o Intervention study groups with and without peer supporters

### Slide 21: Summary (1)

- Integrating mobile ICT into chronic disease management by using Web 2.0 concepts does appear to be a means for engaging adults in effective self-management strategies and for disseminating those strategies into the community
- Our pilot study identified a number of issues that must be considered and resolved in developing such applications

 Moderating role of technology for engagement, communication, and dissemination of effective practices by mobilizing social support for selfmanagement of chronic disease

# Slide 22: Summary (2)

- Accessible technology already exists that can be integrated into systems to engage patients in chronic disease self-management, but:
  - The costs and effort to integrate these components into a specific application can be substantial
  - The low entry and maintenance costs for participants are not necessarily low from the delivery-system perspective
  - We often believe that these innovative technologies may solve the challenges of addressing the needs of patients who consistently do not adhere to treatment
  - Delivery systems need to consider if ICT or Web 2.0 interventions will be effective for patients who are nonadherent to treatment or will merely be a substitute for otherwise effective traditional strategies for patients who are routinely adherent

#### Slide 23: Acknowledgements

- Funding: KPG Community Benefit Investment Fund
  - o Diabetech, LLC
    - Kevin McMahon
- KPG Research Team
  - o Brandi Robinson, M.P.H.
  - o Roslin Nelson
  - o Melanie Baker, C.D.E.
  - o Suma Vupputuri, Ph.D.
- Oakhurst Medical Center
  - o Tarry Johnson, C.D.E.